

At a meeting held on June 29, 2011, the Commission denied the request under administrative rule R 436.1105(1)(c) because the proposed business will be contained in an area directly connected to a non-licensed, non-approved hotel business that the applicant has a direct and indirect interest in. The request was also denied because the applicant will not qualify for licensure under administrative rule R 436.1129(2), which requires 60% or more of the combined monthly gross sales of the approved and non-approved businesses to consist of goods and services customarily marketed by the approved type of business.

David Kolat, legal counsel on behalf of the applicant, submitted a timely request for an appeal in this matter and represented the applicant at a hearing held on September 20, 2011, at the Commission's Lansing office. After hearing arguments, reviewing the MLCC files, and discussion of the issue on the record, the Commission tabled this matter on September 20, 2011 and placed it on its March 13, 2012 meeting agenda.

After further discussion on the record, the Commission does not find error with the application of the MLCC Code or MLCC Administrative Rules. While A-hotels and B-hotels may apply for these licenses, these "limited service" hotels do not fall within the definitions and therefore do not qualify for licensure.

For the reasons stated on the record, the previous order is affirmed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The denial order of June 29, 2011, issued in this matter is AFFIRMED.

MICHIGAN LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

---

Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman

---

Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner

---

Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

By its action of March 13, 2012.

---

Prepared by:  
Terri Chase, Commission Aide



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the request of	)	
<b>DML MANAGEMENT, INC.</b>	)	
5230 Fashion Square	)	Request ID No. 607129
Saginaw, Kochville Township	)	
Saginaw County	)	
<hr/>		

At the March 13, 2012 hearing of the Michigan Liquor Control Commission  
(Commission) in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman  
Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner  
Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

**LICENSING APPEAL ORDER**

On February 24, 2011, DML Management, Inc. (applicant) filed a request for a new SDM license with permission to maintain one (1) Direct Connection to the unlicensed premises, to be located at the above-noted location.

At a meeting held on June 29, 2011, the Commission denied the request under administrative rule R 436.1105(1)(c) because the proposed business will be contained in an area directly connected to a non-licensed, non-approved hotel business that the applicant has a direct and indirect interest in. The request was also denied because the applicant will not qualify for licensure under administrative rule R 436.1129(2), which requires 60% or more of the combined monthly gross sales of the approved and non-approved businesses to consist of goods and services customarily marketed by the approved type of business.

David Kolat, legal counsel on behalf of the applicant, submitted a timely request for an appeal in this matter and represented the applicant at a hearing held on September 20, 2011, at the Commission's Lansing office. After hearing arguments, reviewing the MLCC files, and discussion of the issue on the record, the Commission tabled this matter on September 20, 2011 and placed it on its March 13, 2012 meeting agenda.

After further discussion on the record, the Commission does not find error with the application of the MLCC Code or MLCC Administrative Rules. While A-hotels and B-hotels may apply for these licenses, these "limited service" hotels do not fall within the definitions and therefore do not qualify for licensure.

For the reasons stated on the record, the previous order is affirmed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The denial order of June 29, 2011, issued in this matter is AFFIRMED.

MICHIGAN LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

---

Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman

---

Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner

---

Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

By its action of March 13, 2012.

---

Prepared by:  
Terri Chase, Commission Aide



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the request of	)	
<b>DML MANAGEMENT, INC.</b>	)	
1635 Yeager	)	Request ID No. 607130
Port Huron, Port Huron Township	)	
St. Clair County	)	
_____	)	

At the March 13, 2012 hearing of the Michigan Liquor Control Commission  
(Commission) in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman  
Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner  
Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

**LICENSING APPEAL ORDER**

On February 24, 2011, DML Management, Inc. (applicant) filed a request for a new SDM license with permission to maintain one (1) Direct Connection to the unlicensed premises, to be located at the above-noted location.

At a meeting held on June 29, 2011, the Commission denied the request under administrative rule R 436.1105(1)(c) because the proposed business will be contained in an area directly connected to a non-licensed, non-approved hotel business that the applicant has a direct and indirect interest in. The request was also denied because the applicant will not qualify for licensure under administrative rule R 436.1129(2), which requires 60% or more of the combined monthly gross sales of the approved and non-approved businesses to consist of goods and services customarily marketed by the approved type of business. Further, the request was also denied under administrative rule

R 436.1105(2)(d) after considering the unfavorable recommendation submitted by the St. Clair County Sheriff's Department indicating that the proposed location does not meet licensing requirements under administrative rule R 436.1129.

David Kolat, legal counsel on behalf of the applicant, submitted a timely request for an appeal in this matter and represented the applicant at a hearing held on September 20, 2011, at the Commission's Lansing office. After hearing arguments, reviewing the MLCC files, and discussion of the issue on the record, the Commission tabled this matter on September 20, 2011 and placed it on its March 13, 2012 meeting agenda.

After further discussion on the record, the Commission does not find error with the application of the MLCC Code or MLCC Administrative Rules. While A-hotels and B-hotels may apply for these licenses, these "limited service" hotels do not fall within the definitions and therefore do not qualify for licensure.

For the reasons stated on the record, the previous order is affirmed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The denial order of June 29, 2011, issued in this matter is AFFIRMED.

MICHIGAN LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

---

Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman

---

Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner

---

Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

By its action of March 13, 2012.

---

Prepared by:  
Terri Chase, Commission Aide



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the request of )  
**DML MANAGEMENT, INC.** )  
430 S. Main )  
Frankenmuth )  
Saginaw County )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Request ID No. 607132

At the March 13, 2012 hearing of the Michigan Liquor Control Commission  
(Commission) in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman  
Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner  
Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

**LICENSING APPEAL ORDER**

On February 24, 2011, DML Management, Inc. (applicant) filed a request for a new SDM license with permission to maintain one (1) Direct Connection to the unlicensed premises, to be located at the above-noted location.

At a meeting held on June 29, 2011, the Commission denied the request under administrative rule R 436.1105(1)(c) because the proposed business will be contained in an area directly connected to a non-licensed, non-approved hotel business that the applicant has a direct and indirect interest in. The request was also denied because the applicant will not qualify for licensure under administrative rule R 436.1129(2), which requires 60% or more of the combined monthly gross sales of the approved and non-approved businesses to consist of goods and services customarily marketed by the approved type of business.

David Kolat, legal counsel on behalf of the applicant, submitted a timely request for an appeal in this matter and represented the applicant at a hearing held on September 20, 2011, at the Commission's Lansing office. After hearing arguments, reviewing the MLCC files, and discussion of the issue on the record, the Commission tabled this matter on September 20, 2011 and placed it on its March 13, 2012 meeting agenda.

After further discussion on the record, the Commission does not find error with the application of the MLCC Code or MLCC Administrative Rules. While A-hotels and B-hotels may apply for these licenses, these "limited service" hotels do not fall within the definitions and therefore do not qualify for licensure.

For the reasons stated on the record, the previous order is affirmed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The denial order of June 29, 2011, issued in this matter is AFFIRMED.

MICHIGAN LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

---

Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman

---

Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner

---

Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

By its action of March 13, 2012.

---

Prepared by:  
Terri Chase, Commission Aide



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the request of  
**DML MANAGEMENT, INC.**  
530 S. Main  
Frankenmuth  
Saginaw County

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Request ID No. 607133

At the March 13, 2012 hearing of the Michigan Liquor Control Commission  
(Commission) in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman  
Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner  
Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

**LICENSING APPEAL ORDER**

On February 24, 2011, DML Management, Inc. (applicant) filed a request for a new SDM license with permission to maintain one (1) Direct Connection to the unlicensed premises, to be located at the above-noted location.

At a meeting held on June 29, 2011, the Commission denied the request under administrative rule R 436.1105(1)(c) because the proposed business will be contained in an area directly connected to a non-licensed, non-approved hotel business that the applicant has a direct and indirect interest in. The request was also denied because the applicant will not qualify for licensure under administrative rule R 436.1129(2), which requires 60% or more of the combined monthly gross sales of the approved and non-approved businesses to consist of goods and services customarily marketed by the approved type of business.

David Kolat, legal counsel on behalf of the applicant, submitted a timely request for an appeal in this matter and represented the applicant at a hearing held on September 20, 2011, at the Commission's Lansing office. After hearing arguments, reviewing the MLCC files, and discussion of the issue on the record, the Commission tabled this matter on September 20, 2011 and placed it on its March 13, 2012 meeting agenda.

After further discussion on the record, the Commission does not find error with the application of the MLCC Code or MLCC Administrative Rules. While A-hotels and B-hotels may apply for these licenses, these "limited service" hotels do not fall within the definitions and therefore do not qualify for licensure.

For the reasons stated on the record, the previous order is affirmed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The denial order of June 29, 2011, issued in this matter is AFFIRMED.

MICHIGAN LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION

---

Andrew J. Deloney, Chairman

---

Teri L. Quimby, Commissioner

---

Donald B. Weatherspoon, Commissioner

By its action of March 13, 2012.

---

Prepared by:  
Terri Chase, Commission Aide

